In response to the Examiner's Advisory Action of November 23, 2009 issued in

relation to the present Patent Application, the Applicant submits a Request for Continued

Examination, Amendments to the claims and the below Remarks.

Claims 32 and 40-42 are presented for examination.

Regarding 35 USC 103 Rejections

Claims 32 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Seidman (US 5,080,364) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,457,883) and further in view of

Stangl (US 7,197,641).

Independent claim 32 has been amended to define the sensing device as being

registered as being associated to the entrant. In order to identifying a telecommunication

address of the entrant, this registered association between the entrant and the sensing device

ID is used.

In Seidman the barcode scanners at the redemption locations 12 within the casino

belong to the casino. There exists no correspondence between the barcode scanners and

respective users. In Seidman, when token 32 is scanned, a message requesting entry of the

patron's name, address and other identifying data is displayed.

Silverbrook is relied upon for teaching the inclusion of a sensing device ID in the

data from the sensing device. Such a modification would essentially require each patron to

bring their own barcode scanner with them to the casino, which would be contrary to any

modification a person skilled in the art would envisage.

Stangl is relied upon for teaching utilization of a temporary communications address

to enable communication to conceal an actual communication address. However, Seidman

in view of Silverbrook fails to teach identifying any telecommunication address of the

entrant from the sensing device ID, or receiving any message to be forwarded to the

entrant's telecommunication address, whether directly or through the use of a temporary

address. Seidman in view of Silverbrook does not teach any communication between the

competition administrator and the entrant, or that any data with regards to the entrant is

Appln No. 10/815621

Amdt. Dated: November 27, 2009

Response to Office Action of November 23, 2009

5

communicated from the casino to the competition administrator. There is therefore no motivation to conceal the entrant's actual communications address from the competition administrator.

Claims 32 and 40-42 are allowable for at least the reasons presented above.

## **CONCLUSION**

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Un SZ



Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: patentdept@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762